



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THREE DEER SPECIES IN  
MALAYSIA**

**HABIBA ALI A. ELJAAFARI.**

**FP 2005 12**

**GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THREE DEER SPECIES IN  
MALAYSIA**

**By**

**HABIBA ALI A. ELJAAFARI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**2005**



**DEDICATION**

**TO MY SMALL FAMILY**

**MY HUSBAND AND MY DAUGHTERS**

**Sara, Shaima and Asma**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THREE DEER SPECIES IN  
MALAYSIA**

By

**HABIBA ALI A. ELJAAFARI**

**October 2005**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**

**Faculty: Agriculture**

In recent years, there has been much interest in the domestication and farming of deer in Malaysia for velvet, skin and meat production. Various deer species and subspecies have been imported into the country and this has resulted in the introduction of new germplasm and the risk of mixture of these, making it necessary to evaluate the genetic background of the various species before they are indiscriminately diluted or altered. This study was carried out to characterize three deer species in Malaysia, namely rusa (*Cervus timorensis*), sambar (*Cervus unicolor*) and sika (*Cervus nippon*), by using the karyotyping, biochemical polymorphisms and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) techniques. The rusa herd at the Deer Breeding Unit of University Research Park, Universiti Putra Malaysia, the sambar herd at Pusat Permbiakan Ternakan Sabray, Kenungu, Sabah and the sika herd at Pusat Ternakan Haiwan, Batu Arang were used as the research populations.

Conventional, G-banded and C-banded karyotypes were generated for three male and three female deer of each species. The chromosome number was derived from 100 good metaphase spreads per animal. The morphology of the chromosomes was based on their relative lengths and position of the centromeres. Rusa, sambar and sika displayed a total of 60, 62 and 66 chromosomes in the majority of the cell spreads, respectively. The rusa deer had five pairs of metacentric/submetacentric and 24 pairs of acrocentric autosomes, and a pair of sex chromosomes. The X chromosome was characterised as the largest acrocentric chromosome, while the Y chromosome was a small acrocentric chromosome. The sambar deer had four pairs of metacentric/submetacentric and 26 pairs of acrocentric autosomes. The sex chromosomes were similar to that of rusa deer. In the sika deer there were two pairs of metacentric/submetacentric and 30 pairs of acrocentric autosomes. The pair of sex chromosomes was similar to those of the rusa and the sambar. The homologous chromosomes were paired with respect to their sizes, shapes and banding patterns generated from C-banding and G-banding. The nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) for the three deer species were located on different chromosomes. The male rusa displayed NORs on the telomeric regions both homologues of Chromosomes 1 and 6, but the female displayed NORs on only one homologue of Chromosome 1, and both homologues of Chromosome 6. Male and female sambar deer both had three telomeric NORs located on the homologous pair of Chromosome 6 and a single homologue of Chromosome 7. Female and male sika deer displayed four NORs which were on the acrocentric Chromosomes 1 and 2.

Cellulose acetate and starch gel electrophoresis were used to study enzyme/protein polymorphisms. Blood samples from 38 rusa, 9 sambar and 34 sika deer were analysed for 15 biochemical markers, however, only six markers generated results. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), Albumin (ALB), Transferrin and X-protein were monomorphic. Haemoglobin (HB) was polymorphic with three phenotypes for the three species, which could be attributed to two codominant alleles,  $HB^A$  and  $HB^B$ . The frequency of  $HB^A$  was highest in the rusa population, 0.553, while  $HB^B$  was predominant in sambar, 0.611, and sika, 0.574. The rusa and sika populations showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) deviations from HWE for this locus. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), although polymorphic, was monomorphic within each species. Rusa and sambar showed the same phenotype, but this was different from that of the sika. The genetic distance between rusa and sambar based on the above biochemical markers was 0.001, between rusa and sika it was 0.144, and between sambar and sika it was 0.141.

The animals used in the biochemical study were also analyzed for RAPD markers using 10 arbitrary primers. The primers amplified a total of 164 markers, of which 59 were shared by all three species. The overall percent polymorphism was 99.39%, with rusa showing 128 polymorphic markers (97.71%), sambar showing 66 (68.04%) and sika showing 118 (95.16%) polymorphic markers. The 62B-1 (800 bp) band was a common monomorphic marker for the three species. Sambar had five exclusive monomorphic markers, while sika had one and rusa none. The genetic distance based on Dice and Jaccard similarity indices showed sambar and sika to be the most closely related, followed by rusa and sambar.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENCIRIAN GENETIK TIGA SPESIS RUSA DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**HABIBA ALI A. EL-JAAFARI**

Oktober 2005

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Pada tahun kebelakangan ini, terdapat banyak minat dalam perjinakkan dan perladangan rusa di Malaysia untuk produksi beledu, kulit dan daging. Pelbagai spesis dan subspesis rusa telah diimport ke dalam negara dan ini telah mengenalkan germplasma baru dan risiko pencampuran ini, menjadikannya penting untuk menilai latarbelakang genetik pelbagai spesis tersebut sebelum mereka dicairkan atau diubah secara tidak diskriminasi. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mencirikan tiga spesis rusa di Malaysia, iaitu rusa (*Cervus timorensis*), sambar (*Cervus unicolor*) dan sika (*Cervus nippon*), dengan menggunakan teknik-teknik kariotip, polimorphisme biokimia dan 'randomly amplified polymorphic DNA' (RAPD). Gerompok rusa di Unit Pembiakan Rusa di Taman Penyelidikan Universiti, Universiti Putra Malaysia, sambar di Pusat Pembiakan Ternakan Sabray, Kenungu, Sabah dan sika di Pusat Ternakan Haiwan, Batu Arang digunakan sebagai populasi penyelidikan.

Kariotip konvensi, jalur-G dan jalur -C dihasilkan untuk tiga ekor rusa jantan dan tiga ekor rusa betina untuk setiap spesis. Bilangan kromosom diperoleh daripada 100 sebaran metafasa yang baik per haiwan. Morfologi kromosom didasarkan pada

sebaran metafasa yang baik per haiwan. Morfologi kromosom didasarkan pada panjang relative mereka dan kedudukan sentromere. Rusa, sambar dan sika menunjukkan sejumlah 60, 62 dan 66 kromosom dalam kebanyakan sebaran sel, masing-masing. Rusa mempunyai lima pasang autosom metasentrik/submetasentrik dan 24 pasang autosom akrosentrik, dan sepasang kromosom jantina. Kromosom X dicirikan sebagai kromosom akrosentrik yang terbesar, manakala kromosom Y adalah kromosom akrosentrik yang kecil. Sambar mempunyai empat pasang autosom metasentrik/submetasentrik dan 26 pasang autosom akrosentrik. Pasangan kromosom jantina adalah sama seperti pada rusa. Pada sika terdapat dua pasang autosom metasentrik/submetasentrik dan 30 pasang autosom akrosentrik. Pasangan kromosom jantina adalah sama seperti pada rusa dan sambar. Kromosom homologus dipasangkan berdasarkan saiz, bentuk dan corak jalur yang dihasilkan daripada penjaluran-C dan penjaluran-G. 'Nucleolar organizer regions' (NORs) untuk ketiga-tiga spesis rusa ditempatkan pada kromosom yang berlainan. Rusa jantan menunjukkan NORs pada bahagian telomerik kedua-dua homolog Kromosom 1 dan 6, tetapi rusa betina menunjukkan NORs hanya pada satu homolog Kromosom 1 dan pada kedua-dua homolog Kromosom 6. Kedua-dua rusa jantan dan betina sambar mempunyai tiga NORs telomerik yang bertempat pada pasangan homologos Kromosom 6 dan satu homolog Kromosom 7. Sika betina dan jantan menunjukkan empat NORs yang terdapat pada akrometrik Kromosom 1 dan 2.

Elektroforesis selulosa asetat dan gel kanji digunakan untuk mengaji polimorfisme enzim/protein. Sampel darah daripada 38 ekor rusa, 9 ekor sambar dan 34 ekor sika telah dianalisa untuk 15 penanda biokimia, walaupun hanya enam penanda menghasilkan keputusan. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), albumin (ALB), transferring



dan X-protein adalah monomorfik. Hemoglobin (HB) adalah polimorfik dengan tiga fenotip untuk ketiga-tiga spesies tersebut, yang boleh dikaitkan kepada dua alel kodominan, HB<sup>A</sup> dan HB<sup>B</sup>. Frekuensi HB<sup>A</sup> adalah tertinggi dalam populasi rusa, 0.553, manakala HB<sup>B</sup> adalah predomnan dalam sambar, 0.611, dan sika, 0.574. Populasi rusa dan sika menunjukkan penyimpangan ketara ( $P < 0.05$ ) daripada HWE untuk lokus ini. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), walaupun polimorfik, adalah monomorfik untuk setiap spesies. Rusa dan sambar menunjukkan fenotip yang sama, tetapi berbeza dengan sika. Jarak genetik di antara rusa dan sambar berdasarkan penanda biokimia di atas adalah 0.001, antara rusa dan sika ia adalah 0.144, dan antara sambar dan sika ia adalah 0.141.

Haiwan yang digunakan untuk kajian biokimia juga dianalisa untuk tanda RAPD dengan menggunakan 10 primer arbitari. Primer-primer tersebut menghasilkan sejumlah 164 penanda, antara mana 59 dikongsi oleh ketiga-tiga spesies. Peratus polimorfisme keseluruhan adalah 99.39%, manakala rusa menunjukkan 128 penanda polimorfik (97.71%), sambar mempunyai 66 (68.04%) dan sika mempunyai 118 (95.16%) penanda polimorfik. Sambar mempunyai lima penanda monomorfik yang eksklusif, manakala sika mempunyai satu dan rusa tiada langsung. Jaraket berdasarkan indeks persamaan Dice dan Jaccard genetik menunjukkan pertalian sambar dan sika adalah yang paling rapat, diikuti dengan rusa dan sambar.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my highly respected supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jothi Malar Panandam, chairman of my supervisory committee, for her advice, invaluable guidance, hospitality, support and encouragement throughout the period of the study.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ismail Idris and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Shapor Siraj for their suggestions and guidance towards the completion of this study.

I would like to acknowledge Mr.Kamal Basha and the staff of Pusat Ternakan Haiwan deer farm (Batu Arang), Deer Breeding Unit of University Research Park, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Pusat Pembiakan Ternakan Sabray, Kenungu, Sabah for their kind cooperation and help in collection of the samples this study.

I would also like to extend my thanks to the Department Head, Associate Professor Dr. Azhar Kasim, and all staff members of the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, and the staffs of Graduate School of Universiti Putra Malaysia helping me in one way or another towards the completion of the study.



My heart felt thanks and appreciation goes to my husband, Mr. Mokhtar F. Sassi, for his sacrifice, patience, understanding, help and encouragement throughout the study.

My deepest gratitude to my late father, Mr. Ali Ahmed El-Jaafari, for all his encouragement, advice and support towards my pursuit of higher education and academic excellence.

My deepest appreciation to my mother, my brothers and sisters for their constant encouragement and support during the course of the study.

Last, but not the least, I would like to thank my dear friends, Ms. P. Kalai Selvi, Mr. Krishnan Kanniah, and Ms. Norbazlin, for their encouragement throughout my study.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 6<sup>th</sup> October 2005 to conduct the final examination of Habiba, A.A.Eljaafari on her Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Genetic Characterization of Three Deer Species in Malaysia" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Dahlan Ismail, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd Hilmi Abdullah, PhD**


Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Tan Soon Guan, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mahani Mansor Cylde, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
(Independent Examiner)



---

**ZAKARIAH ABDUL RASHID, PhD**

Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **27 DEC 2005**

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**


Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ismail Idris, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Siti Shapor Siraj, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)



---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**  
Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

**12 JAN 2006**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

*Habiba*

**HABIBA ALI A. EL JAAFARI**

Date: *20/10/05*



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
 <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	 <b>1</b>
1.1 Objectives of the Study	2
1.2 Significance of the study	3
 <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	 <b>4</b>
2.1 Deer	4
2.2 Deer in Malaysia	4
2.2.1 <i>Cervus unicolor</i> (Sambar)	5
2.2.2 <i>Cervus timorensis</i> (Rusa)	6
2.2.3 <i>Cervus nippon</i> (Sika)	6
2.3. Genetic characterization and phylogenetic studies	7
2.3.1 Karyotyping	7
2.3.2 Biochemical markers	16
2.3.3 DNA polymorphism	24
2.3.4 Randomly Amplified polymorphic DNA(RAPD)	
2.3.5 DNA polymorphism in deer	
 <b>3 STUDY I: KARYOTYPES OF THREE DEER SPECIES</b>	 <b>33</b>
3.1. Introduction	33
3.2. Materials and Methods	36
3.2.1 Experimental material	36
3.2.2 Sample collection	36
3.2.3 Cell culture and harvesting	36
3.2.4 Slide preparation	38
3.2.5 Construction of karyotypes	40
3.2.6 Analysis of data	40
3.3. Results	42
3.3.1 Chromosome constitution	42
3.3.2 C-banded karyotype	51
3.3.3 G-banded karyotypes	58
3.3.4 NOR banding patterns	67
3.4. Discussion	70



<b>4</b>	<b>STUDY II: BIOCHEMICAL POLYMORPHISM IN THREE DEER SPECIES</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1.	Introduction	81
4.2.	Materials and Methods	82
4.2.1	Experimental and Methods	82
4.2.2	Sample collection	83
4.2.3	Biochemical markers studied	83
4.2.4	Cellulose acetate electrophoresis	85
4.2.5	Starch gel electrophoresis	87
4.2.6	Statistical analysis	91
4.3.	Results	94
4.3.1	The monomorphic loci	94
4.3.2	Polymorphic loci	99
4.3.3	Genetic variability	
4.4.	Discussion	108
<b>5</b>	<b>STUDY III: RAPD ANALYSIS OF THREE DEER SPECIES</b>	<b>115</b>
5.1.	Introduction	115
5.2.	Materials and Methods	116
5.2.1	Experimental materials	116
5.2.2	DNA extraction and quantification	116
5.2.3	Primers investigated	117
5.2.4	PCR procedure and optimization	118
5.2.5	Agarose gel electrophoresis	120
5.2.6	Statistical analysis	121
5.3.	Results	124
5.3.1	Primer screening and PCR optimisation	124
5.3.2	Primer banding pattern	124
5.3.3	Marker frequency	143
5.3.4	Percentage of shared markers	143
5.3.5	Genetic distance within population	148
5.3.6	Genetic distance between populations	148
5.3.7	Cluster analysis	
5.4.	Discussion	157
<b>6</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>165</b>
6.1.	General discussion	165
6.2.	Conclusion	170
6.3.	Suggested further investigations	172
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>174</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>191</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	<b>221</b>





## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Distribution of deer species in Peninsular Malaysia .	5
3.1 Chromosome number and distribution for male and female rusa deer.	42
3.2 Morphological characteristics of the chromosomes of male and female rusa deer.	43
3.3 Chromosome number and distribution for male and female sambar deer.	48
3.4 Morphological characteristics of the chromosomes of male and female sambar deer.	48
3.5 Chromosome number and distribution for male and female sika deer.	51
3.6 Morphological characteristics of the chromosomes of male and female sika deer.	51
4.1 Deer species and sample size used in biochemical study.	82
4.2 Enzymes/proteins studied and gel buffer systems used and staining methods.	84
4.3 Coefficient for heterozygote deficiency for HB in three deer species	103
4.4 Chi-square test for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the three deer species.	103
4.5 Genetic variability at the eight loci for the three deer species.	106
4.6 Association between marker allele and species for HB and G6PD in the three deer species.	107
4.7 Genetic similarity and distance coefficients based on HB locus.	107
5.1 Primers used for RAPD amplification of genomic DNA.	118
5.2 RAPD-PCR mixture components and their concentrations.	119
5.3 The RAPD-PCR amplification protocol.	120
5.4 Primers and optimized parameters used for the RAPD-PCR assay	124
5.5 Frequency of RAPD markers generated by ten primers according to species.	144



5.6	Percentage of shared RAPD markers detected using ten primers.	147
5.7	Genetic distances within <i>Cervus timorensis</i> , <i>Cervus unicolor</i> and <i>Cervus nippon</i> population based on Dice's and Jaccard's similarity indices.	148
5.8	Genetic distance between rusa, sambar and sika based on Dice's and Jaccard's similarity indices.	149



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Conventional karyotype of female rusa deer.	43
3.2 Conventional karyotype of male rusa deer.	44
3.3 Conventional karyotype of female sambar deer.	46
3.4 Conventional karyotype of male sambar deer.	47
3.5 Conventional karyotype of female sika deer.	49
3.6 Conventional karyotype of male sika deer.	50
3.7 C- banded karyotype of female rusa deer.	52
3.8 C- banded karyotype of male rusa deer.	53
3.9 C- banded karyotype of female sambar deer.	54
3.10 C- banded karyotype of male sambar deer.	55
3.11 C- banded karyotype of female sika deer.	56
3.12 C- banded karyotype of male sika deer.	57
3.13 G- banded karyotype of female rusa deer.	59
3.14 G- banded karyotype of male rusa deer.	60
3.15 G- banded karyotype of female sambar deer.	61
3.16 G- banded karyotype of male sambar deer.	62
3.17 Ideogram of female rusa deer ( <i>Cervus timorensis</i> ).	63
3.18 Ideogram of male rusa deer ( <i>Cervus timorensis</i> ).	64
3.19 Ideogram of female sambar deer ( <i>Cervus unicolor</i> ).	65
3.20 Ideogram of male sambar deer ( <i>Cervus unicolor</i> ).	66
3.21 Silver NOR bearing chromosomes of male rusa deer.	67
3.22 Silver NOR bearing chromosomes of female rusa deer.	68
3.23 Silver NOR bearing chromosomes of sambar deer.	69
3.24 Silver NOR bearing chromosomes of sika deer.	69
3.25 Diagrammatic representation of the possible relationships of the three species.	80
4.1 Electrophoretic pattern of LDH for the three deer species.	95
4.2 Electrophoretic pattern of plasma protein of the three deer species.	97
4.3 Diagrammatic representation of plasma proteins pattern of the three deer species.	98
4.4 Electrophoretic patterns of HB for the three species.	100



4.5	Diagrammatic representation of the HB patterns of the three species.	101
4.6	Allele frequencies for the HB locus in the three species.	102
4.7	Heterozygosity for the HB locus in the three species.	102
4.8	Electrophoretic patterns and genotypes postulated of G6PD in the three deer species.	104
4.9	Diagrammatic representation of G6PD patterns in the three deer species.	105
4.10	Diagrammatic representation of similarity among the three species.	107
5.1	RAPD markers from rusa and sika amplified by primer 52A.	126
5.2	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 52A.	126
5.3	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 57A.	127
5.4	RAPD markers from rusa and sambar amplified by primer 57A .	127
5.5	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 95A.	129
5.6	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 95A.	129
5.7	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 95A.	130
5.8	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 06B.	130
5.9	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 06B.	131
5.10	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 06B.	131
5.11	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 60B.	132
5.12	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 60B.	132
5.13	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 60B.	133
5.14	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 62B.	135
5.15	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 62B.	135
5.16	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 62B.	136
5.17	RAPD markers from rusa and sika amplified by primer 64B.	136
5.18	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 64B.	137
5.19	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 67B.	137
5.20	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 67B.	138
5.21	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 67B.	138
5.22	RAPD markers from sika amplified by primer 105R.	140
5.23	RAPD markers from rusa amplified by primer 105R.	140
5.24	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 105R.	141
5.25	RAPD markers from sika and rusa amplified by primer 108R.	141
5.26	RAPD markers from sambar amplified by primer 108R.	142

5.27	Dendrogram of rusa deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Dice's index.	151
5.28	Dendrogram of rusa deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Jaccard's index.	152
5.29	Dendrogram of sika deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Dice's index.	154
5.30	Dendrogram of sika deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Jaccard's index.	155
5.31	Dendrogram of sambar deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Dice's index.	156
5.32	Dendrogram of sambar deer generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Jaccard's index.	156

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACP	Acid phosphatase
AFLP	Amplified Fragment Length Polymerase
AgNO <sub>3</sub>	Silver nitrate
AK	Adenilate kinase
ALB	Albumin
Ba(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Barium hydroxide
CA	Cellulose acetate
CAE	Cellulose acetate electrophoresis
C-banding	Constitutive heterochromatin banding
CAT	Catalase
DIA	Diaphorase
dNTP	Dinucleotide triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tera acetic Acid
ES	Arylesterase
FN	Fundamental number
G-banding	Giemsa banding
GDH	Glucose dehydrogenase
GPI	Glucose phosphate isomerase
GPD	Glucose phosphate dehydrogenase
αGLU	Slow-α-glucose
G6PD	Fundamental number
GOT	Glutamate Oxaloacetate Transaminase
IDH	Isocitrate dehydrogenase



G6PD	Glucose -6-phosphate dehydrogenase
HB	Haemoglobin
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
H <sub>e</sub>	Heterozygosity
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen peroxide
HWE	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	Potassium dihydrogen phosphate
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
μl	microliter
mM	millimole
ME	Malic enzyme
MDH	Malate dehydrogenase
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	Magnesium Chloride
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
MTT	Methylthiazolyl blue
MPI	Mannose Phosphate Isomerase
Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	Disodium hydrogen phosphate
NAD	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide
NADP	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
2n	Diploid chromosome number
ng	nanogram
NP	Nucleoside phosphorylase
NORs	Nucleolar organizer regions
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PEP	Peptidase



<b>PGD</b>	<b>Phosphogluconate Dehydrogenase</b>
<b>PGM</b>	<b>phosphoglucomutase</b>
<b>PLG</b>	<b>Plasminogen</b>
<b>PBS</b>	<b>Potassium buffer saline</b>
<b>PMS</b>	<b>Phenazine MethoSulphate</b>
<b>PI2</b>	<b>Protease inhibitor</b>
<b>PTF</b>	<b>Post transferrin</b>
<b>RAPD</b>	<b>Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA</b>
<b>RFLP</b>	<b>Restricted Fragment Length Polymorphism</b>
<b>RPMI</b>	<b>Roswell Park Memorial Institute</b>
<b>rRNA</b>	<b>Ribosomal RNA</b>
<b>SOD</b>	<b>Superoxide dimutase</b>
<b>SSC</b>	<b>Standard sodium and citrate solution</b>
<b>Tf</b>	<b>Transferrin</b>
<b>UV</b>	<b>ultraviolet</b>
<b>VNTRs</b>	<b>Variable Number of Tandem Repeats</b>
<b>1X</b>	<b>One time</b>
<b>XP</b>	<b>X-protein</b>





# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

Populations of game animals, such as deer, are often exposed to extensive loss of genetic variability. The main reasons are the increasing isolation of wildlife populations by alteration of the landscape and deer being constantly slaughtered by men for their venison and hides, and being hunted for sports or for their antlers. In recent years, there has been much interest in the domestications and farming of deer under varying degrees of intensification. The establishment of artificial populations in enclosures with consequent annual reductions of population size by culling is also a contributory factor to loss in genetic variation. The number of farmed deer in the world is difficult to estimate because the deer industry is expanding at 20 percent per annum. However, in 1993 the international herd stood at over five million (Chardonnet, 1993).

Variability in animal populations was for long time studied at the morphological level. Subsequently, variants in chromosomal structure and biochemical genetic methods were used to investigate the genetic constitution of populations. Over the past four decades, molecular characterization of animal populations has become popular. Polymorphism at biochemical and molecular levels are good indicators of inherited genetic variations and serve as useful tools to evaluate the available genetic resources, population dynamics, inbreeding within populations, and to monitor changes in populations over time as a result of human activities.

